



SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES

2014 Enterprise Risk Management Symposium
Sept. 29 - Oct. 1, 2014, Chicago, IL

Relationship Data: The Missing Link of the Current Financial Infrastructure¹

By Irina S. Leonova and Nigel Jenkinson

Copyright 2015 by the Society of Actuaries, Casualty Actuarial Society, and the Professional Risk Managers' International Association.

All rights reserved by the Society of Actuaries, Casualty Actuarial Society, and the Professional Risk Managers' International Association. Permission is granted to make brief excerpts for a published review. Permission is also granted to make limited numbers of copies of items in this monograph for personal, internal, classroom or other instructional use, on condition that the foregoing copyright notice is used so as to give reasonable notice of the Society of Actuaries', Casualty Actuarial Society's, and the Professional Risk Managers' International Association's copyright. This consent for free limited copying without prior consent of the Society of Actuaries, Casualty Actuarial Society, and the Professional Risk Managers' International Association does not extend to making copies for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for inclusion in new collective works or for resale.

Relationship Data: The Missing Link of the Current Financial Infrastructure¹

By Irina S. Leonova and Nigel Jenkinson

Abstract

Improving understanding of the complex relationships among financial entities is critically important for risk managers and for financial authorities charged with multiple policy objectives. High-quality information is lacking at both the intra- and inter-enterprise levels and to support analysis of the financial network. Put simply, the current quality and quantity of relationship data are not sufficient to deliver on the ultimate objectives. For the purpose of this discussion we use a very generic definition of a term relationship somewhat similar to the definition used to describe human relationships. And like in the human relationships case, we will not claim that only marriage and blood connection makes your relatives, but also loaning a book, loving, hating and sharing a flat. In the financial context, the relationships may be determined by accounting rules set, for example, by IFRS or US GAAP, as well as regulatory requirements in areas of risk management, market integrity, know-your-client, network analysis and statistical consolidation. The financial industry and regulators have spent countless hours arguing and debating the definition of ownership. The problem lies in the question itself. We suggest that as part of any relationship data system the best approach is to put the question aside and avoid a conceptual and practical quagmire. Rather, we recommend collecting and storing less-subjective granular data on the actual legal and economic relationships between firms, which provides a flexible framework from which any user can answer the question on corporate relationships he or she determines is appropriate at a given time. Encouragingly, technological solutions are available to accommodate this multiplicity of requirements in a single solution. The paper outlines where the practical challenges are that inhibit the development of high-quality relationship data and how they can be overcome.
